

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/721,609	Applicant(s) NISHIGUCHI ET AL
Examiner Jimmy Lin		Art Unit 1792	

All Participants:

(1) Jimmy Lin.

(2) David R. Metzger.

Date of Interview: 31 August 2009

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 3

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed some amendments to claims 1 and 3 in order to place the claims in allowance. Applicant's representative indicated that Applicant are intending to abandon the application. Thus, approval for the proposed amendments was not granted

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 /Jimmy Lin/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)